

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RIGOBERTO ENRIQUE ISAZA, ) Case No. 2:16-cv-00085-RFB-NJK  
Plaintiff(s), ) ORDER  
vs. )  
CAESAR ALMASE, ) (Docket No. 7)  
Defendant(s). )  
\_\_\_\_\_  
)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for production of documents. Docket No. 7. The Court has recommended dismissal of the instant case, which recommendation remains pending. Docket No. 5. Therefore, any motion filed in the instant case is premature. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Plaintiff's motion. Docket No. 7.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 7, 2016

  
NANCY J. KOPPE  
United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to Local Rule 26-8, discovery-related documents are not to be filed on the docket unless ordered by the Court. *See Woods v. Quintana*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 170632, at \*7 (D. Nev. Dec. 22, 2015). Plaintiff's motion for production of documents is, in fact, a Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 request for production of documents, which is exactly the type of document that Local Rule 26-8 prohibits parties from filing on the docket.